



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,924	06/22/2006	Philippe Le Roy	PF030185	4851

24498 7590 01/05/2012
Robert D. Shedd, Patent Operations
THOMSON Licensing LLC
P.O. Box 5312
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312

EXAMINER

LEIBY, CHRISTOPHER E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2629

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

01/05/2012

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@technicolor.com
pat.verlangieri@technicolor.com
russell.smith@technicolor.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/583,924	LE ROY, PHILIPPE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHRISTOPHER E. LEIBY	2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 December 2011.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on ____; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.
- 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 5a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 9) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

Detailed Action

1. **Claims 1-13** are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The newly amended subject matter “one single DC voltage generator...” is further claimed to supply current simultaneously to all of the emitters of each column through a same and single supply line during. The support, starting at paragraph [0053] of the current application publication 2008/0272993, states one DC voltage generator per column of emitters. The interpretation of the claimed limitation insinuates that only one DC generator is needed for each and every emitter or each and every column wherein the support dictates that a plurality of DC voltage generators exist limited to one per column for every emitter of that column. For the rejection below the examiner will assume the subject matter of

Art Unit: 2629

the specification. Amendment to language similar to the specification is suggested to overcome this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over **Bu** (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0101172) in view of **Yamazaki et al.** (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0146888), herein after referred to as Yamazaki.

Regarding **independent claim 1**, Bu discloses an active-matrix image display device comprising: several light emitters forming an array of emitters distributed in rows and columns (*figure 2 and paragraph [0002] wherein an active driving system refers to an active matrix driving system which comprises of a two dimensional arrays of OLEDs in rows and columns*);

means for controlling the emission of the emitters comprising: for each emitter of the array, a current modulator comprising a source electrode, a drain electrode and a gate electrode, a drain current being able to pass through said modulator in order to supply said emitter, for a voltage between the drain or the

Art Unit: 2629

source and the gate equal to or greater than a trip-threshold voltage (*figure 2 reference 21 and paragraphs [0020]- [0022]*),

for each modulator, storage means capable of storing electric charges at the gate electrode of the modulator (*figure 2 reference 23*), for each row emitters, row select means capable of selecting in succession the emitters of each row of emitters, for programming these emitters (*figure 2 reference scan signal 3 sent to 54, 53, and 22*), and

for each column of emitters, column address means capable of addressing in succession each selected emitter of said column of emitters by applying, during the programming step of this selected emitter, a value representative of a data set point to the gate electrode of the modulator associated with this emitter in order to actuate it (*figure 2 reference 4 and paragraphs [0019]-[0022]*), during a programming step, for each row of emitters, row select means capable of selecting in succession the emitters of each row of emitters (*figure 2 reference 3 and paragraphs [0019]-[0022]*), during the programming step and for each modulator, storage means capable of storing electric charges at the gate electrode of the modulator (*figure 2 reference 23 and 21 and paragraph [0022]*); and

one single voltage generator for supplying current simultaneously to all of the emitters of each column through a same and single current supply line during both emission steps and programming steps of the emitters of said column, as soon as the modulator of each column is turned on (*figure 2 reference ground directly connected to transistor 21 wherein the current flowing through the OLED is compared between REF and DRV, via 53, shown in figure 2 changing the gate voltage of transistor 21 during the*

Art Unit: 2629

programming phase paragraph [0021] and then the emission enables 21 via 23 and further turns off 53 and enables 54 and VS through 54, 1, 21, and ground which is used for both the emission and programming phase of the circuit as soon as the modulator is turned on, paragraph [0022], since the scan signal designates the programming and emission phases through inverted signals on 54 and 53 on the single current supply line shown in figure 2 ref DRV input to 53); and

trip-threshold voltage compensation means comprising comparators, the comparators being capable of comparing, during the step of programming a selected emitter, a value representative of the drain current supplying the selected emitter with the value representative of the data set point for controlling the quantity of charge stored in the storage means,

wherein the compensation means comprise, for each column of emitters, a one single separate unit for determining a representative value of the drain current supplying the selected emitter on the basis of a measurement of a representative value of the current for supplying all of the emitters of the column, and for turning on the emitters already during the programming step (*paragraphs [0020]-[0022] wherein the capacitor 23 of figure 2 is adjusted so that the OLED is not affected by the characteristics of transistor 21 and figure 2 reference 6 for current comparator which compares drive current with a reference current; transistor 21 wherein the current flowing through the OLED is compared between REF and DRV, via 53 and the single current supply line described later, shown in figure 2 changing the gate voltage of transistor 21 during the programming phase paragraph [0021]*).

Bu does not disclose a single separate unit of this column by measuring the total current supplying all of the emitters of this column for determining a

Art Unit: 2629

value of drain current across the pixel OLED nor does Bu disclose wherein the single voltage generator may be DC.

Bu does disclose a unit 6 within the active matrix pixel to determine the drain current through the OLED (*paragraphs [0018]-[0019]*).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to enable a passive matrix setup of Bu's unit 6, which determines a current through an OLED, to determine a column's current to enable cheaper manufacturing costs via simplifying the individual pixel circuit.

Yamazaki discloses wherein a DC voltage generator is used to generate voltages for the OLED panel (*figure 20 reference power source and paragraph [0123]*).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made that the voltages enabled by Bu's singular voltage generator may be DC as disclosed by Yamazaki a known method yielding predictable results as normal in the art.

Regarding **claim 2**, Bu discloses an image display device, wherein the current supply means for the emitters are connected directly to each modulator of the control means (*figure 2 reference ground directly connected to transistor 21*).

Regarding **claim 3**, Bu discloses an image display device , wherein the current supply means for the emitters are connected directly to each emitter of a column through one single power supply line(*many different driving schemes are available to send current to the OLED however in the case of Bu transistor 21 and 54 open or switch to an on state to directly connect the OLED to their respective power supplies*).

Regarding **claim 4**, Bu discloses an image display device, wherein the current supply means for the emitters comprise a voltage supply generator capable of supplying all of the emitters of a column through one single current supply line and wherein the compensation means are capable of compensating in succession the trip-threshold voltage of each modulator of all of the emitters of this column (*paragraphs [0020]-[0022] and figure 2 reference 6 and VS and ground*).

Regarding **claim 5**, Bu discloses an image display device, wherein the compensation means further include: a drive generator capable of generating a drive signal applied to the gate of said modulator (*figure 2 reference 4*); and means for modulating the duration of said drive signal according to the value of the data set point and the value of the trip-threshold voltage (*paragraphs [0020]-[0022] wherein switch 54 switches to an off state to stop current from flowing into the OLED and hence stop the duration of emitting light*).

Regarding **claim 6**, Bu discloses an image display device, wherein the data set point is a data voltage and in that the comparators are capable of emitting a warning signal when the voltage representative of the intensity of the drain current is equal to a number of times said data voltage (*figure 3 N1 and N2 provide the same function as a comparator and generate an output/warning signal through Nd2 and N3d to create a proportional output to the OLED paragraphs [0025]-[0026]*).

Regarding **claim 7**, Bu discloses an image display device, wherein the means for modulating the duration of the drive signal comprise: a switch connected in series with the drive generator (*figure 3 reference P3*); and a control unit capable of switching said switch (*figure 3 reference 6 specifically control unit N1 and*

Art Unit: 2629

N2 disclose whether to increase or decrease/data set point received or warning signal received via using N3 paragraphs [0025]-[0026]), on the one hand, when the data set point is received, and on the other hand, when the warning signal is received.

Regarding **claim 8**, Bu discloses an image display device, wherein the drive signal generated by the drive generator is amplitude-modulated according to the value of the data set point (*paragraph [0025]-[0026] disclose wherein the drive current is increased or decreased which is amplitude modulation*).

Regarding **claim 9**, Bu discloses an image display device, wherein the drive generator is a current generator and the modulator is capable of being current-controlled (*figure 6 reference N2 and N1 which is a current mirror current controlled and paragraphs [0025]-[0026] wherein a drive current is output to the OLED*).

Regarding **claim 10**, an image display device, wherein the drive generator is a ramp voltage generator and the modulator is capable of being voltage-controlled.

Such a driving and control scheme does not emphasize any significance as what would be the benefit from applying such organization. Therefore, the examiner asserts that such are based on the design choice of device and provide no specific improvements and are merely inherent variations through the relationship of current and voltage to that disclosed in claim 9. Therefore, claim 10 is rejected on the same grounds as claim 9 and as discussed above.

Regarding **claim 11**, Bu discloses an image display device, wherein the compensation means further include a unit for measuring the intensity of a

Art Unit: 2629

current, capable of measuring the intensity of the drain current passing through a selected emitter during the programming step (*paragraphs [0020]-[0022] wherein transistor 53 is left on to measure intensity of current passing through OLED in a programming step before emitting light*).

Regarding **claim 12**, Bu discloses an image display device, wherein the supply means comprise a line to which the measurement unit is directly connected (*figure 2 reference 6 connected directly to ground*).

Regarding **claim 13**, Bu discloses an image display device, wherein the storage means comprise at least one storage capacitor connected to the gate and to the source of the modulator and in that the compensation means further include reset means capable of applying a voltage pulse to said capacitor in order to discharge it (*figure 2 reference 23 and paragraph [0022] wherein adjustment includes charging and discharging*).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 12/27/2011 have been fully considered and are related towards newly amended subject matter. Refer to office action above for rebuttal. It is noted that the current state of the newly amended subject matter may have different interpretations of the claimed simultaneous current. While applicants may intend the subject matter to relate towards the current application's data line or prior art Bu's data line the broad claim limitations are not limited to such since they simply need to be current sent to an emitter through a

Art Unit: 2629

same and single supply line, which in the examiner's point of view is done in multiple ways through the pixel circuit. It is suggested to amend the claims to limit the claim language of the current sent to the emitter as intended and described in the specification limiting other possible interpretations of the claim language and/or to have an interview to discuss such changes. This action is **non-final**.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER E. LEIBY whose telephone number is (571)270-3142. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 - 5 Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Eisen can be reached on 571-272-7687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/CHRISTOPHER E LEIBY/
Examiner, Art Unit 2629

January 2nd, 2012